1 2	STEPHANIE M. HINDS (CABN 154284) Acting United States Attorney		
3	HALLIE HOFFMAN (CABN 210020) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	DANIEL PASTOR (CABN 297948) Assistant United States Attorney		
5	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055		
6	San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7200		
7 8	FAX: (415) 436-7234 daniel.pastor@usdoj.gov		
9	Attorneys for United States of America		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13		NO. CR-20-0450 (EMC)	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME FROM	
15	Plaintiff,	APRIL 21, 2021 THROUGH JULY 21, 2021	
16	v. )		
17	JUVENCIO GAMEZ CID, and (CRISTIAN ALVARADO, (CRISTIAN ALVARADO)		
18	Defendants.		
19	)		
20 21	)		
22	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CR-20-451 (EMC)	
23	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME FROM APRIL 21, 2021 THROUGH JULY 21, 2021	
24	v. )	ATRIL 21, 2021 THROUGHJULT 21, 2021	
25	JUVENCIO GAMEZ CID, and JOSE ALFREDO VILLALOBOS CISNEROS,		
26	Defendants.		
27	)		
28	) )		

STIPULATION 1 v. 7/10/2018

1		)
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CR-20-452 (EMC)
3	Plaintiff,	PROPOSED ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME FROM APRIL 21, 2021 THROUGH JULY 21, 2021
4	v.	) )
5	FRANCISCO RICARDO MIRANDA, and URIEL SOTO	
6		
7	Defendants.	) )
8		
9		) )
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) ) ) Case No. CR21-026 (EMC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME FROM APRIL 21, 2021 THROUGH JULY 21, 2021
14	RAUDEL MACIAS, BENITO MACIAS, and FAWN LARANCE	
15	FAWN LARANCE	
16	Defendants.	
17		, ) )
18		
19		

The parties appeared for a status on April 21, 2021. The government reported that it has produced initial discovery to the defendants through the court-appointed discovery coordinator and that additional discovery will soon be produced. Defense counsel stated that they would need time to review discovery and requested that the next appearance be set in 90 days. The Court set the next status for July 21, 2021.

The parties agreed to exclude time for effective preparation of counsel and due to the complexity of the case. The Court agreed to exclude time for effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. It further agreed that time should be excluded based on the complexity of the case.

STIPULATION 2 v. 7/10/2018

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Based upon the facts set forth on the record, those stated above, and for good cause shown, the Court finds that exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 (the Speedy Trial Act) for the period from April 21, 2021 through July 21, 2021 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendants the effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court further finds that these cases are a complex matter and that it would be unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits established. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the time from April 21, 2021 through July 21, 2021 shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act.

DATED: April 26, 2021

United States District Judge